

1. Motivation

1.1 • Zilber's Conjecture: Every definable subset of \mathbb{C} in the structure $\mathbb{C}_{exp} := \langle \mathbb{C}, +, \cdot, exp \rangle$ is either countable or co-countable.

1.2 • Kovari's Conjecture: Every definable subset of \mathbb{C} in the structure $\mathbb{C}_{1-entire} := \langle \mathbb{C}, +, \cdot, \{h\}_k: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, h \text{ entire} \rangle$ is either countable or co-countable.

~~Remark: A theorem of H. Alexander (Duke Math J. 42(2), 1975, 327-332) shows that if $F: \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is entire, with non-empty irreducible zero set which is not of the form $\{ \langle z, w \rangle \in \mathbb{C}^2 : z = z_0 \}$.~~

1.3 Remark: Let $F: \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be an entire function and assume that its zero set, Z_F , is non-empty, irreducible and not of the form $\{ \langle z, w \rangle \in \mathbb{C}^2 : z = z_0 \}$. Consider the projection P_F of Z_F onto the z -coordinate: -

$$P_F := \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : \exists w \in \mathbb{C} F(z, w) = 0 \}.$$

A theorem of Tsuji (Japanese J. Math (19) (1944), 139-154) asserts that $\mathbb{C} \setminus P_F$ has zero logarithmic capacity.

A theorem of H. Alexander (Duke Math J. (42) (1975), 327-332) asserts that for any such set $E \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, there exists $F: \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ as above such that $\mathbb{C} \setminus P_F = E$.

Since there exist uncountable sets of zero logarithmic capacity it follows that there exists $F: \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with both P_F and $\mathbb{C} \setminus P_F$ uncountable, and hence the conjectures above fail in general for expansions of $\langle \mathbb{C}, +, \cdot \rangle$ by just one

entire function of two complex variables.

So even when considering the case of formulas $\exists w \exists(z, w) = 0$, where \exists is a term of, say, the Koivan language, we must use ~~the~~ special feature of \exists that it is built up using polynomial functions from unary entire functions. I shall work out in detail a special case of when projections of zero sets of two variable terms of the Koivan language are co-countable. A ~~corollary~~ corollary is:

1.4 Theorem.

Let $h: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be an entire function of finite order and let $F(z, w) = \sum_{i,j,k=0}^N a_{i,j,k} z^i w^j h(w)^k$. Let $(z_0, w_0) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ be such that $F(z_0, w_0) = 0 \neq \frac{\partial F}{\partial w}(z_0, w_0)$ and let $\phi: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a function (as given by the implicit function theorem) satisfying $F(z, \phi(z)) = 0$ for all z in the open neighbourhood U of z_0 . Then there exists (an explicitly specified) ~~countable~~ countable set $E \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ such that ϕ may be analytically continued along all rays $[z_0, \infty)$ emanating from z_0 satisfying $[z_0, \infty) \cap E = \emptyset$. Thus ϕ may be analytically continued along a piece-wise linear path to any point of $\mathbb{C} \setminus E$ and so ~~countable~~ $\mathbb{C} \setminus P_F \subseteq E$, and hence $\mathbb{C} \setminus P_F$ is countable.

2. Asymptotic values.

Let $h: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a ~~meromorphic~~ meromorphic function. A complex number $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ is called an asymptotic value for h if there exists a smooth path $\gamma: [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $|\gamma(t)| \rightarrow \infty$ and $h(\gamma(t)) \rightarrow \lambda$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Let us call h tame if it has only countably many asymptotic values. (There are certainly examples of non-tame ~~entire~~ entire functions: the unit disk can even occur as the set of asymptotic values for some ~~entire~~ such h .)

• It is a theorem of Ahlfors (1930) that if h is entire and (3)
 $(*) \dots \forall R > 0, \sup_{|z| \leq R} |h(z)| \leq C e^{R^p}$ (for some fixed $p \notin \mathbb{Z}, C \notin \mathbb{R}$),
 then h has at most $2p$ asymptotic values.

• Call h very tame if ~~each~~ ^{each} functions in the ring $\mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}, h(z), h(z^2), \dots]$ has only countably many asymptotic values. It follows easily from Ahlfors theorem, that any entire function satisfying (*) is very tame. (In fact ~~each~~ ^{each} functions in the above ring has only ~~finitely~~ many asymptotic values.) So 1.4 follows from: -

2.1 Theorem.

Theorem 1.4 holds for very tame functions h .

3. Proof of 2.1

We first specify the set E . (We use the notations of the statement of 1.4.)

Firstly, it is clear that on the connected component of $Z(F) (= \{ \langle z, w \rangle \in \mathbb{C}^2 : F(z, w) = 0 \})$ containing $\langle z_0, w_0 \rangle$, the set $\{ \langle z, w \rangle \in \mathbb{C}^2 : \frac{\partial F}{\partial w}(z, w) = 0 \}$ is discrete. Let E_{sing} be its projection onto z -coordinates. E_{sing} is countable.

3.1 • It clearly follows that if $[z_0, z_1)$ is a ray emanating from z_0 along which ϕ can be analytically continued, and if $[z_0, z_1) \cap E_{\text{sing}} = \emptyset$, then ϕ can be continued to $[z_0, z_1]$ provided it is not the case that $\lim_{\substack{z \rightarrow z_1 \\ z \in [z_0, z_1)}} \phi(z) = \infty$.

(For otherwise, there would exist a sequence $\{u_n\} \subseteq [z_0, z_1)$ s.t. $u_n \rightarrow z_1$ and $\phi(u_n) \rightarrow \text{some } \theta \in \mathbb{C}$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We would then have ~~for all~~ $F(u_n, \phi(u_n)) = 0$ ($\forall n$), and hence $F(z_1, \theta) = 0$ and since $\frac{\partial F}{\partial z}(z_1, \theta) \neq 0$ (as $z_1 \notin E_{\text{sing}}$) we could continue ϕ by the Implicit

Function Theorem.)

We now construct subfields $E_0 \subseteq E_1 \subseteq E_2 \subseteq \dots$ of \mathbb{C} inductively as follows.

- Let $E_0 = \mathbb{Q}(\{a_{i,j,k} : 0 \leq i,j,k \leq m\})$ (see defn. of F in 1.4);
- Let $E_{n+1} =$ subfield of \mathbb{C} generated over E_n by all asymptotic values of all functions in the ring $E_n[z, z^{-1}, h(z), h(z^2), \dots]$
- Let $E_\infty = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} E_n$, and define K to be the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{Q}(E_\infty \cup E_{\text{sing}})$ (within \mathbb{C}).

Let $R :=$ the ring $K[z, w, h(w)]$ (considered as a subring of the ring of all entire functions of two complex variables). Clearly $F(z, w) \in R$ and both K and R are countable by our assumption of h being very tame.

We now define the exceptional set. Firstly, let

3.2 $\tilde{E} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \exists w \in \mathbb{C}, \exists q \in R \text{ such that } \langle z, w \rangle \text{ is an isolated zero of } q \text{ on the connected component of } Z(F) \text{ containing } \langle z_0, w_0 \rangle\}$

3.3 $E :=$ the algebraic closure of $K(\tilde{E})$ (within \mathbb{C}).

Since R is countable, so is \tilde{E} . We complete the proof of 2.1 by ~~deriving a contradiction~~ (in the notation of 3.1) deriving a contradiction from the two assumptions:

3.4 $\phi(z) \rightarrow 0$ as $z \rightarrow z_1$ along $[z_0, z_1)$;

3.5 $[z_0, z_1] \cap E = \emptyset$.

(Note that E does not depend on the point z_1 ! It does depend on the initial point $\langle z_0, w_0 \rangle$ (as we refer to the connected component of $Z(F)$ containing $\langle z_0, w_0 \rangle$), but this could easily be avoided. Thus E really only depends on h and the coefficients of F .)

So, assume 3.4 and 3.5.

Let R^ϕ denote the ring $K[z, \phi(z), h(\phi(z))]$, considered as a subring of the ring of analytic functions from $[z_0, z_1)$ to \mathbb{C} . (5)

Notice that if $f \in R^\phi$, $f \neq 0$, then f has no zeroes (since if z' were such a zero, then, letting $G \in R$ be such that $f(z) \equiv G(z, \phi(z))$, we would have $\langle z', \phi(z') \rangle$ being an isolated zero of G on the connected component of $Z(A)$ containing $\langle z_0, w_0 \rangle$, and hence $z' \in \tilde{E} \cap [z_0, z_1)$ (by 3.2), so $z' \in [z_0, z_1] \cap E$ - contradicting 3.5).

Then, the field of fractions $\mathcal{F}^\phi := K(z, \phi(z), h(\phi(z)))$ of R^ϕ is also a subring of the ring of analytic functions from $[z_0, z_1)$ to \mathbb{C} .

3.6 Claim: For any $f \in \mathcal{F}^\phi$, $\lim_{\substack{z \rightarrow z_1 \\ z \in [z_0, z_1)}} f(z)$ exists in $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$.

Proof of claim:

For suppose not for some $f \in \mathcal{F}^\phi$. Since $f(z) \not\rightarrow \infty$ (as $z \rightarrow z_1$), there exists a sequence $\{s_n\} \subseteq [z_0, z_1)$ and $\theta \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $s_n \rightarrow z_1$ and $f(s_n) \rightarrow \theta$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since, also, $f(z) \not\rightarrow \theta$ as $z \rightarrow z_1$, there exists a sequence $\{s'_n\} \subseteq [z_0, z_1)$ and $\theta' \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{\theta\}$ such that $s'_n \rightarrow z_1$ and $f(s'_n) \rightarrow \theta'$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. But since $f: [z_0, z_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is continuous, it easily follows that $|f(z) - \theta|$ takes all values in $(0, |\theta - \theta'|)$ arbitrarily close to z_1 . Thus there exist uncountably many points $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ for which $f(s''_n) \rightarrow \alpha$, for some sequence $\{s''_n\} \subseteq [z_0, z_1) \subseteq [z_0, z_1)$ with $s''_n \rightarrow z_1$. In particular we may choose $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ transcendental over $K(z_1)$ with this property, which we now do.

Now pass to a proper ultrapower ${}^*\mathbb{C}$ of \mathbb{C} and let ω be an infinite natural number. Let $\lambda := S_\omega^\alpha$. Then $st(\lambda) = z_1$ and $st(f(\lambda)) = \alpha$ (where $st(\cdot)$ denotes taking

the standard part). Since α is transcendental over \mathbb{C} , and $K \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, it follows that the subfield $K(\lambda, f(\lambda))$ of ${}^*\mathbb{C}$ is isomorphic to $K(\lambda, \alpha)$ via the standard part map, and hence that $K(\lambda, f(\lambda))$ is a subfield of the ring $\text{Fin}({}^*\mathbb{C})$ (= finite elements of ${}^*\mathbb{C}$). However, by 3.4, $\phi(\lambda)$ (= $\phi(s_\omega^*)$ evaluated in ${}^*\mathbb{C}$) is an infinite element of ${}^*\mathbb{C}$ so clearly the subfield $K(\lambda, f(\lambda), \phi(\lambda))$ of ${}^*\mathbb{C}$ has transcendence degree 3 over K . But this subfield is certainly contained in the subfield $K(\lambda, \phi(\lambda), h(\phi(\lambda)))$ of ${}^*\mathbb{C}$ which has (assuming our original function F is not identically zero) transcendence degree 2 over K - contradiction. \square 3.6.

Now 3.6 allows us to view \mathcal{F}^ϕ as a valued field.

The valuation ring is $V := \{ f \in \mathcal{F}^\phi : \lim_{\substack{z \rightarrow z_1 \\ z \in [z_0, z_1]}} f(z) \text{ is finite} \}$,

and its maximal ideal is $\mu := \{ f \in \mathcal{F}^\phi : \lim_{\substack{z \rightarrow z_1 \\ z \in [z_0, z_1]}} f(z) = 0 \}$.

Notice that the residue field, (up to isomorphism) $K(z_1)$ (NB $\lim_{\substack{z \rightarrow z_1 \\ z \in [z_0, z_1]}} z = z_1$), which has transcendence degree 1 over K ,

and the value group is non-trivial (since by 3.4). Since \mathcal{F}^ϕ has transcendence degree 2 over K , it follows that \mathcal{K} is the algebraic closure of $K(z_1)$ (i.e. of $K(z)$) in \mathcal{F}^ϕ , and the value group has the form $\{ \frac{m}{q} \cdot v(\phi(z)) : m \in \mathbb{Z} \}$, where $q \in \mathbb{Z}, q > 0$ is fixed, and v denotes the valuation.

Now since we may assume that $h(\phi(z))$ is algebraic over $K(z, \phi(z))$ (otherwise $F(z, w)$ is a polynomial, and then the main theorem is trivial) it follows that we have a

formal Puiseux series expansion (at infinity):

3.7
$$h(\phi(z)) = \sum_{i=-l}^{\infty} a_i \cdot \phi(z)^{-i/q},$$

where the a_i 's lie in the residue field k ($= \text{alg. clos. } K(z_1)$).
 This expression 3.7 is to be read, of course, in terms of the valuation, v .

•
$$h(\phi(z)) \cdot \phi(z)^{-l/q} \rightarrow a_{-l} \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow z_1 \text{ along } [z_0, z_1),$$

•
$$\phi(z)^{-l/q} \left(h(\phi(z)) \cdot \phi(z)^{-l/q} - a_{-l} \right) \rightarrow a_{-l+1} \quad \text{--- " ---}$$

•
$$\phi(z)^{-l/q} \left(\phi(z)^{-l/q} \left(h(\phi(z)) \cdot \phi(z)^{-l/q} - a_{-l} \right) - a_{-l+1} \right) \rightarrow a_{-l+2} \quad \text{--- " ---}$$

etc.

So we see that (by 3.4),

• a_{-l} is an asymptotic value for the function

$$h(w^q) \cdot w^{-l};$$

• a_{-l+1} is an asymptotic value for the function

$$w \left(h(w^q) \cdot w^{-l} - a_{-l} \right);$$

• a_{-l+2} is an asymptotic value for the function

$$w \left(w \left(h(w^q) \cdot w^{-l} - a_{-l} \right) - a_{-l+1} \right);$$

and so on.

Thus, it follows inductively that $a_{-l}, a_{-l+1}, a_{-l+2}, \dots$ all lie in E_∞ , and hence in K .

(8)

So, in fact, the series in 3-7 is in fact a series with coefficients in K and it follows from this that $h(\phi(z))$ is algebraic over $K(\phi(z))$ (and not just algebraic over $K(z, \phi(z))$). So there exists a $Q(x, y) \in K[x, y]$ such that $Q(\phi(z), h(\phi(z))) = 0$ (in the ring of analytic functions from $[z_0, z_1)$ to \mathbb{C}). But then, by analyticity, $Q(w, h(w)) \equiv 0$, and h is an algebraic function, and hence so is ϕ , and the main result is well-known in this case. □