

Topology of definable groups in tame structures

Alessandro Berarducci
joint work with Elias Baro

Géométrie et Théorie des Modèles

Paris, École Normale Supérieure
6 Mai 2011

O-minimal structures

Definition

A structure $M = (M, <, \dots)$ is **o-minimal** if every definable subset of M is a finite union of points and intervals (a, b) with $a, b \in M \cup \{\pm\infty\}$.

Example

- 1 $(\mathbb{R}, <, +, \cdot)$.
- 2 Any real closed field $(R, <, +, \cdot)$.
- 3 $(\mathbb{R}, <, +, \cdot, \exp, \sin|_{[0,1]})$
- 4 \mathbb{R}_{an}

Triangulations

Fix an o-minimal structure M . We always assume that M expands an ordered field. We recall the following basic result.

Theorem ([vdD98])

Every definable set $X \subset M^n$ can be triangulated, namely there is a finite (open) simplicial complex K and a definable homeomorphism $f : |K|^M \rightarrow X$.

Triangulations

Fix an o-minimal structure M . We always assume that M expands an ordered field. We recall the following basic result.

Theorem ([vdD98])

Every definable set $X \subset M^n$ can be triangulated, namely there is a finite (open) simplicial complex K and a definable homeomorphism $f : |K|^M \rightarrow X$.

In general two compact polyhedra $|K|$ and $|L|$ can be homeomorphic without being PL-homeomorphic.

Triangulations

Fix an o-minimal structure M . We always assume that M expands an ordered field. We recall the following basic result.

Theorem ([vdD98])

Every definable set $X \subset M^n$ can be triangulated, namely there is a finite (open) simplicial complex K and a definable homeomorphism $f : |K|^M \rightarrow X$.

In general two compact polyhedra $|K|$ and $|L|$ can be homeomorphic without being PL-homeomorphic. However:

Theorem (O-minimal Hauptvermutung: [Shi10])

*Let K, L be finite closed simplicial complexes and let $f : |K|^M \rightarrow |L|^M$ be a **definable** homeomorphism. Then K and L have isomorphic subdivisions. So there is a PL-homeomorphism $g : |K|^M \cong |L|^M$.*

Definable groups

Definition

A definable group is a definable set $G \subset M^n$ with a definable group operation.

Definable groups

Definition

A definable group is a definable set $G \subset M^n$ with a definable group operation.

Example

$$SO_2(M) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & -b \\ b & a \end{pmatrix} : a^2 + b^2 = 1 \right\}.$$

Definable groups

Definition

A definable group is a definable set $G \subset M^n$ with a definable group operation.

Example

$$SO_2(M) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & -b \\ b & a \end{pmatrix} : a^2 + b^2 = 1 \right\}.$$

Example

A non singular elliptic curve $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$ in $\mathbb{P}^2(M)$ (“real” case) or in $\mathbb{P}^2(M[\sqrt{-1}])$ (“complex” case).

Tori

Lie tori

Every connected compact abelian real Lie group is Lie-isomorphic to a torus $\mathbb{T}^n = \mathbb{R}^n / \mathbb{Z}^n$.

Tori

Lie tori

Every connected compact abelian real Lie group is Lie-isomorphic to a torus $\mathbb{T}^n = \mathbb{R}^n / \mathbb{Z}^n$.

In the o-minimal context \mathbb{Z} does not exist,

Tori

Lie tori

Every connected compact abelian real Lie group is Lie-isomorphic to a torus $\mathbb{T}^n = \mathbb{R}^n / \mathbb{Z}^n$.

In the o-minimal context \mathbb{Z} does not exist, but we can define:

Definable tori

Let $\mathbb{T}^1(M) = [0, 1) \subset M$ with the following group operation:

$$x * y = \begin{cases} x + y & \text{if } x + y < 1 \\ x + y - 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Similarly we define the n -th torus $\mathbb{T}^n = [0, 1)^n$.

Theorem ([Pil88])

*Any definable group $(G, *)$ admits a unique group topology, called the t-topology, such that G is a finite union $U_1 \cup \dots \cup U_n$ with each U_i open in G and definably homeomorphic to M^n ($n = \dim(G)$).*

So when $M = (\mathbb{R}, <, \dots)$, any definable group G is a real Lie group.

t-topology

Theorem ([Pil88])

*Any definable group $(G, *)$ admits a unique group topology, called the t-topology, such that G is a finite union $U_1 \cup \dots \cup U_n$ with each U_i open in G and definably homeomorphic to M^n ($n = \dim(G)$).*

So when $M = (\mathbb{R}, <, \dots)$, any definable group G is a real Lie group.

Example

With the t-topology the torus $\mathbb{T}^1 = [0, 1)$ (with addition modulo 1) is definably homeomorphic to S^1 (the unit circle in M), so the t-topology does not coincide with the subspace topology $[0, 1) \subset \mathbb{R}$.

t-topology

Definition

G is **definably compact** if every definable curve $f : (0, \varepsilon) \rightarrow G$ has a limit in G in the t-topology.

G is **definably connected** if G has no proper definable clopen subset in the t-topology. This is equivalent to say that G has not definable subgroups of finite index.

t-topology

Definition

G is **definably compact** if every definable curve $f : (0, \varepsilon) \rightarrow G$ has a limit in G in the t-topology.

G is **definably connected** if G has no proper definable clopen subset in the t-topology. This is equivalent to say that G has not definable subgroups of finite index.

By “Robson’s embedding theorem” we have:

Fact

Every definable group G can be embedded in some M^m , namely G is definably isomorphic to a group $G' \subset M^m$ such that the t-topology of G' coincides with the subspace topology inherited from M^m .

Such a G' is definably compact iff it is closed and bounded in M^m .

One-dimensional definable groups

We have seen various examples of one-dimensional definable groups:
 $SO(2, M)$, $\mathbb{T}^1(M)$, elliptic curves in $\mathbb{P}^2(M)$.

One-dimensional definable groups

We have seen various examples of one-dimensional definable groups: $SO(2, M)$, $\mathbb{T}^1(M)$, elliptic curves in $\mathbb{P}^2(M)$.

Note that $SO(2, \mathbb{R})$ is Lie-isomorphic to $\mathbb{T}^1(\mathbb{R})$ but not definably isomorphic in $(\mathbb{R}, <, +, \cdot)$ (i.e. the isomorphism is not semialgebraic).

One-dimensional definable groups

We have seen various examples of one-dimensional definable groups: $SO(2, M)$, $\mathbb{T}^1(M)$, elliptic curves in $\mathbb{P}^2(M)$.

Note that $SO(2, \mathbb{R})$ is Lie-isomorphic to $\mathbb{T}^1(\mathbb{R})$ but not definably isomorphic in $(\mathbb{R}, <, +, \cdot)$ (i.e. the isomorphism is not semialgebraic).

Theorem ([Str94b])

Let G be a definably compact definably connected abelian definable group in M . Assume $\dim(G) = 1$. Then G , with the t -topology, is definably homeomorphic to \mathbb{T}^1 (equivalently: to the unit circle S^1).

One-dimensional definable groups

We have seen various examples of one-dimensional definable groups: $SO(2, M)$, $\mathbb{T}^1(M)$, elliptic curves in $\mathbb{P}^2(M)$.

Note that $SO(2, \mathbb{R})$ is Lie-isomorphic to $\mathbb{T}^1(\mathbb{R})$ but not definably isomorphic in $(\mathbb{R}, <, +, \cdot)$ (i.e. the isomorphism is not semialgebraic).

Theorem ([Str94b])

Let G be a definably compact definably connected abelian definable group in M . Assume $\dim(G) = 1$. Then G , with the t -topology, is definably homeomorphic to \mathbb{T}^1 (equivalently: to the unit circle S^1).

A natural conjecture is that when $\dim(G) = n$, then G is definably homeomorphic to \mathbb{T}^n . The difficulty is that G may have no one-dimensional definable subgroups ([PS99]).

Main result

Theorem ([BB11])

Let G be a definably compact definably connected abelian n -dimensional definable group with $n \neq 4$. Then G is definably homeomorphic to \mathbb{T}^n . In the semialgebraic case the proviso $n \neq 4$ is not needed.

Main result

Theorem ([BB11])

Let G be a definably compact definably connected abelian n -dimensional definable group with $n \neq 4$. Then G is definably homeomorphic to \mathbb{T}^n . In the semialgebraic case the proviso $n \neq 4$ is not needed.

Main steps.

Main result

Theorem ([BB11])

Let G be a definably compact definably connected abelian n -dimensional definable group with $n \neq 4$. Then G is definably homeomorphic to \mathbb{T}^n . In the semialgebraic case the proviso $n \neq 4$ is not needed.

Main steps.

- 1 The result holds in the semialgebraic case;

Main result

Theorem ([BB11])

Let G be a definably compact definably connected abelian n -dimensional definable group with $n \neq 4$. Then G is definably homeomorphic to \mathbb{T}^n . In the semialgebraic case the proviso $n \neq 4$ is not needed.

Main steps.

- 1 The result holds in the semialgebraic case;
- 2 Homotopy transfer;

Main result

Theorem ([BB11])

Let G be a definably compact definably connected abelian n -dimensional definable group with $n \neq 4$. Then G is definably homeomorphic to \mathbb{T}^n . In the semialgebraic case the proviso $n \neq 4$ is not needed.

Main steps.

- 1 The result holds in the semialgebraic case;
- 2 Homotopy transfer;
- 3 $\pi_1(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}^n \cong \pi_1(\mathbb{T}^n)$ ([EO04]);

Main result

Theorem ([BB11])

Let G be a definably compact definably connected abelian n -dimensional definable group with $n \neq 4$. Then G is definably homeomorphic to \mathbb{T}^n . In the semialgebraic case the proviso $n \neq 4$ is not needed.

Main steps.

- 1 The result holds in the semialgebraic case;
- 2 Homotopy transfer;
- 3 $\pi_1(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}^n \cong \pi_1(\mathbb{T}^n)$ ([EO04]);
- 4 $\pi_k(G) = 0$ for $k > 0$;
 G is definably homotopy equivalent to \mathbb{T}^n ([BMO10]);

Main result

Theorem ([BB11])

Let G be a definably compact definably connected abelian n -dimensional definable group with $n \neq 4$. Then G is definably homeomorphic to \mathbb{T}^n . In the semialgebraic case the proviso $n \neq 4$ is not needed.

Main steps.

- 1 The result holds in the semialgebraic case;
- 2 Homotopy transfer;
- 3 $\pi_1(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}^n \cong \pi_1(\mathbb{T}^n)$ ([EO04]);
- 4 $\pi_k(G) = 0$ for $k > 0$;
 G is definably homotopy equivalent to \mathbb{T}^n ([BMO10]);
- 5 If $\dim(G) \neq 4$, there is a finite cover $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n$ (as spaces). Use f to put a semialgebraic group operation on $\text{dom}(G)$.



Step 1: Semialgebraic case

Lemma (Elimination of parameters)

Let G be a semialgebraic group over a real closed field M . Then G is semialgebraic homeomorphic (but not necessarily isomorphic) to a semialgebraic group over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{real}} \prec M$.

Step 1: Semialgebraic case

Lemma (Elimination of parameters)

Let G be a semialgebraic group over a real closed field M . Then G is semialgebraic homeomorphic (but not necessarily isomorphic) to a semialgebraic group over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}^{real} \prec M$.

Proof.

- We can assume that the t -topology of G is the topology inherited from the ambient space M^m (Robson's embedding theorem).
- By the triangulation theorem we can assume that $\text{dom}(G) = |K|$ where K is a finite simplicial complex definable without parameters.
- However the group operation may need parameters from M .
- Since $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}^{real} \prec M$, there is a possibly different commutative group operation \oplus on $|K|$ which is defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}^{real}$.



Step 1: Semialgebraic case

Theorem ([BB11])

Let G be a semialgebraic group of dimension n over a real closed field M . Suppose G is definably compact, definably connected, abelian. Then G is definably homeomorphic to $\mathbb{T}^n(M)$.

Step 1: Semialgebraic case

Theorem ([BB11])

Let G be a semialgebraic group of dimension n over a real closed field M . Suppose G is definably compact, definably connected, abelian. Then G is definably homeomorphic to $\mathbb{T}^n(M)$.

Proof.

Step 1: Semialgebraic case

Theorem ([BB11])

Let G be a semialgebraic group of dimension n over a real closed field M . Suppose G is definably compact, definably connected, abelian. Then G is definably homeomorphic to $\mathbb{T}^n(M)$.

Proof.

- We can assume that G is defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}^{real} \prec M$. Consider $G(\mathbb{R})$.

Step 1: Semialgebraic case

Theorem ([BB11])

Let G be a semialgebraic group of dimension n over a real closed field M . Suppose G is definably compact, definably connected, abelian. Then G is definably homeomorphic to $\mathbb{T}^n(M)$.

Proof.

- We can assume that G is defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}^{real} \prec M$. Consider $G(\mathbb{R})$.
- $G(\mathbb{R})$ is a compact connected abelian Lie group with the t-topology.

Step 1: Semialgebraic case

Theorem ([BB11])

Let G be a semialgebraic group of dimension n over a real closed field M . Suppose G is definably compact, definably connected, abelian. Then G is definably homeomorphic to $\mathbb{T}^n(M)$.

Proof.

- We can assume that G is defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}^{real} \prec M$. Consider $G(\mathbb{R})$.
- $G(\mathbb{R})$ is a compact connected abelian Lie group with the t-topology.
- There is an **analytic isomorphism** $h : G(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n(\mathbb{R})$.

Step 1: Semialgebraic case

Theorem ([BB11])

Let G be a semialgebraic group of dimension n over a real closed field M . Suppose G is definably compact, definably connected, abelian. Then G is definably homeomorphic to $\mathbb{T}^n(M)$.

Proof.

- We can assume that G is defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}^{real} \prec M$. Consider $G(\mathbb{R})$.
- $G(\mathbb{R})$ is a compact connected abelian Lie group with the t -topology.
- There is an **analytic isomorphism** $h : G(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n(\mathbb{R})$.
 h is definable in the \mathcal{O} -minimal structure \mathbb{R}_{an} .

Step 1: Semialgebraic case

Theorem ([BB11])

Let G be a semialgebraic group of dimension n over a real closed field M . Suppose G is definably compact, definably connected, abelian. Then G is definably homeomorphic to $\mathbb{T}^n(M)$.

Proof.

- We can assume that G is defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}^{real} \prec M$. Consider $G(\mathbb{R})$.
- $G(\mathbb{R})$ is a compact connected abelian Lie group with the t -topology.
- There is an **analytic isomorphism** $h : G(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n(\mathbb{R})$.
 h is definable in the o-minimal structure \mathbb{R}_{an} .
- By Shiota's o-minimal Hauptvermutung there is a **semialgebraic homeomorphism** $f : G(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n(\mathbb{R})$.

Step 1: Semialgebraic case

Theorem ([BB11])

Let G be a semialgebraic group of dimension n over a real closed field M . Suppose G is definably compact, definably connected, abelian. Then G is definably homeomorphic to $\mathbb{T}^n(M)$.

Proof.

- We can assume that G is defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}^{real} \prec M$. Consider $G(\mathbb{R})$.
- $G(\mathbb{R})$ is a compact connected abelian Lie group with the t -topology.
- There is an **analytic isomorphism** $h : G(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n(\mathbb{R})$.
 h is definable in the o-minimal structure \mathbb{R}_{an} .
- By Shiota's o-minimal Hauptvermutung there is a **semialgebraic homeomorphism** $f : G(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n(\mathbb{R})$.
Since $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}^{real} \prec M$ we can take f defined without parameters.

Step 1: Semialgebraic case

Theorem ([BB11])

Let G be a semialgebraic group of dimension n over a real closed field M . Suppose G is definably compact, definably connected, abelian. Then G is definably homeomorphic to $\mathbb{T}^n(M)$.

Proof.

- We can assume that G is defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}^{real} \prec M$. Consider $G(\mathbb{R})$.
- $G(\mathbb{R})$ is a compact connected abelian Lie group with the t -topology.
- There is an **analytic isomorphism** $h : G(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n(\mathbb{R})$.
 h is definable in the o-minimal structure \mathbb{R}_{an} .
- By Shiota's o-minimal Hauptvermutung there is a **semialgebraic homeomorphism** $f : G(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n(\mathbb{R})$.
Since $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}^{real} \prec M$ we can take f defined without parameters.
- The same formula gives a definable homeomorphism $f^M : G(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n(M)$.

Step 2: Homotopy transfer

Recall that homotopy equivalence is much weaker than homeomorphism.

Example

The figure “8” is homotopy equivalent to \mathbb{R}^2 minus two points.

Step 2: Homotopy transfer

Recall that homotopy equivalence is much weaker than homeomorphism.

Example

The figure “8” is homotopy equivalent to \mathbb{R}^2 minus two points.

The homotopy category is more flexible than the topological category.

Fact

- $|K|$ and $|L|$ are homotopy equivalent if and only if they are semialgebraically homotopy equivalent.
- But two polyhedra $|K|$ and $|L|$ can be homeomorphic without being definably homeomorphic in any o-minimal expansion of \mathbb{R} .

Step 2: Homotopy transfer

Let M be an o-minimal expansion of a field, and let X be a semialgebraic set defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}^{real} \subset M$. Look at $X(\mathbb{R})$ and $X(M)$.

Step 2: Homotopy transfer

Let M be an o-minimal expansion of a field, and let X be a semialgebraic set defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}^{real} \subset M$. Look at $X(\mathbb{R})$ and $X(M)$.

Theorem (Homotopy transfer)

Step 2: Homotopy transfer

Let M be an o-minimal expansion of a field, and let X be a semialgebraic set defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}^{real} \subset M$. Look at $X(\mathbb{R})$ and $X(M)$.

Theorem (Homotopy transfer)

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \pi_1^{def}(X(M)) \cong \pi_1(X(\mathbb{R})) \quad ([BO02]);$$

Step 2: Homotopy transfer

Let M be an o-minimal expansion of a field, and let X be a semialgebraic set defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}^{real} \subset M$. Look at $X(\mathbb{R})$ and $X(M)$.

Theorem (Homotopy transfer)

- 1 $\pi_1^{def}(X(M)) \cong \pi_1(X(\mathbb{R}))$ ([BO02]);
- 2 $H_*^{def}(X(M)) \cong H_*(X(\mathbb{R}))$ ([BO02]);

Step 2: Homotopy transfer

Let M be an o-minimal expansion of a field, and let X be a semialgebraic set defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}^{real} \subset M$. Look at $X(\mathbb{R})$ and $X(M)$.

Theorem (Homotopy transfer)

- 1 $\pi_1^{def}(X(M)) \cong \pi_1(X(\mathbb{R}))$ ([BO02]);
- 2 $H_*^{def}(X(M)) \cong H_*(X(\mathbb{R}))$ ([BO02]);
- 3 $H^{*def}(X(M); \mathbb{Q}) \cong H^*(X(\mathbb{R}); \mathbb{Q})$ ([EO04] and duality);

Step 2: Homotopy transfer

Let M be an o-minimal expansion of a field, and let X be a semialgebraic set defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}^{real} \subset M$. Look at $X(\mathbb{R})$ and $X(M)$.

Theorem (Homotopy transfer)

- 1 $\pi_1^{def}(X(M)) \cong \pi_1(X(\mathbb{R}))$ ([BO02]);
- 2 $H_*^{def}(X(M)) \cong H_*(X(\mathbb{R}))$ ([BO02]);
- 3 $H^{*def}(X(M); \mathbb{Q}) \cong H^*(X(\mathbb{R}); \mathbb{Q})$ ([EO04] and duality);
- 4 $\pi_n^{def}(X(M)) \cong \pi_n(X(\mathbb{R}))$ ([BO09]).

Step 2: Homotopy transfer

Let M be an o-minimal expansion of a field, and let X be a semialgebraic set defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}^{real} \subset M$. Look at $X(\mathbb{R})$ and $X(M)$.

Theorem (Homotopy transfer)

- 1 $\pi_1^{def}(X(M)) \cong \pi_1(X(\mathbb{R}))$ ([BO02]);
- 2 $H_*^{def}(X(M)) \cong H_*(X(\mathbb{R}))$ ([BO02]);
- 3 $H^{*def}(X(M); \mathbb{Q}) \cong H^*(X(\mathbb{R}); \mathbb{Q})$ ([EO04] and duality);
- 4 $\pi_n^{def}(X(M)) \cong \pi_n(X(\mathbb{R}))$ ([BO09]).

The superscript “def” refers to the relativization to the definable category and will be omitted in the sequel.

Step 2: Homotopy transfer

Let M be an o-minimal expansion of a field, and let X be a semialgebraic set defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}^{real} \subset M$. Look at $X(\mathbb{R})$ and $X(M)$.

Theorem (Homotopy transfer)

- 1 $\pi_1^{def}(X(M)) \cong \pi_1(X(\mathbb{R}))$ ([BO02]);
- 2 $H_*^{def}(X(M)) \cong H_*(X(\mathbb{R}))$ ([BO02]);
- 3 $H^{*def}(X(M); \mathbb{Q}) \cong H^*(X(\mathbb{R}); \mathbb{Q})$ ([EO04] and duality);
- 4 $\pi_n^{def}(X(M)) \cong \pi_n(X(\mathbb{R}))$ ([BO09]).

The superscript “def” refers to the relativization to the definable category and will be omitted in the sequel.

Failure of topological transfer (see [BO03, Shi10, BB11])

If $|K|(M)$ is a definable manifold, then $|K|(\mathbb{R})$ is a (PL) manifold. But if $|K|(\mathbb{R})$ is a topological manifold, $|K|(M)$ need not be a definable manifold.

Step 2: Homotopy transfer

Proposition

If X is a definable set, $\pi_1(X)$ is finitely generated. Similarly $H_n(X)$ and $H^n(X)$ are finitely generated for all n .

Step 2: Homotopy transfer

Proposition

If X is a definable set, $\pi_1(X)$ is finitely generated. Similarly $H_n(X)$ and $H^n(X)$ are finitely generated for all n .

Proof.

By homotopy transfer after triangulating X □

Step 2: Homotopy transfer

Proposition

If X is a definable set, $\pi_1(X)$ is finitely generated. Similarly $H_n(X)$ and $H^n(X)$ are finitely generated for all n .

Proof.

By homotopy transfer after triangulating X □

However $\pi_n(X)$ may not be finitely generated for $n > 1$.

Example

Let $X = S^1 \wedge S^2$. Then $\pi_2(X) = \mathbb{Z}^{(\omega)}$.

Indeed, for $n > 1$, $\pi_n(X) = \pi_n(\tilde{X})$ where \tilde{X} is the universal cover of X . When $X = S^1 \wedge S^2$ the space \tilde{X} is an infinite line with infinitely many copies S^2 attached to it, so clearly $\pi_2(\tilde{X})$ is not finitely generated as a group (however it is finitely generated as a $\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(X)]$ -module).

Step 3: Torsion

Theorem ([Str94a])

Let G be a definable abelian group. Then the k -torsion subgroup $G[k]$ is finite.

Step 3: Torsion

Theorem ([Str94a])

Let G be a definable abelian group. Then the k -torsion subgroup $G[k]$ is finite.

Question ([PS99])

Suppose G is definably compact. Is $G[k]$ non-empty?

Step 3: Torsion

Theorem ([Str94a])

Let G be a definable abelian group. Then the k -torsion subgroup $G[k]$ is finite.

Question ([PS99])

Suppose G is definably compact. Is $G[k]$ non-empty?

Theorem ([EO04])

Let G be a definably compact definably connected abelian group of dimension n . Then $G[k] \cong \mathbb{T}^n[k]$.

The proof depends on the study of $\pi_1(G)$. One shows that $\pi_1(G) \cong \pi_1(\mathbb{T}^n)$ and that $G[k] \cong \pi_1(G)/k\pi_1(G)$. So we need to study $\pi_1(G)$.

Step 3: Fundamental group

Theorem ([EO04])

Let G be a definably compact definably connected abelian group of dimension n . Then $\pi_1(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$.

Step 3: Fundamental group

Theorem ([EO04])

Let G be a definably compact definably connected abelian group of dimension n . Then $\pi_1(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$.

Proof.

Step 3: Fundamental group

Theorem ([EO04])

Let G be a definably compact definably connected abelian group of dimension n . Then $\pi_1(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$.

Proof.

- Since $\text{dom}(G)$ is a definable set, $\pi_1(G)$ is finitely generated.

Step 3: Fundamental group

Theorem ([EO04])

Let G be a definably compact definably connected abelian group of dimension n . Then $\pi_1(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$.

Proof.

- Since $\text{dom}(G)$ is a definable set, $\pi_1(G)$ is finitely generated.
- Since G is abelian, the map $p_k : G \rightarrow G, x \mapsto kx$, is a homomorphism.

Step 3: Fundamental group

Theorem ([EO04])

Let G be a definably compact definably connected abelian group of dimension n . Then $\pi_1(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$.

Proof.

- Since $\text{dom}(G)$ is a definable set, $\pi_1(G)$ is finitely generated.
- Since G is abelian, the map $p_k : G \rightarrow G, x \mapsto kx$, is a homomorphism.
- It is also a definable **covering** map, so it induces an **injective** homomorphism $p_{k*} : \pi_1(G) \rightarrow \pi_1(G)$, given by $[\gamma] \mapsto k[\gamma]$.

Step 3: Fundamental group

Theorem ([EO04])

Let G be a definably compact definably connected abelian group of dimension n . Then $\pi_1(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$.

Proof.

- Since $\text{dom}(G)$ is a definable set, $\pi_1(G)$ is finitely generated.
- Since G is abelian, the map $p_k : G \rightarrow G, x \mapsto kx$, is a homomorphism.
- It is also a definable **covering** map, so it induces an **injective** homomorphism $p_{k*} : \pi_1(G) \rightarrow \pi_1(G)$, given by $[\gamma] \mapsto k[\gamma]$.
- Since this holds for every k , $\pi_1(G)$ is torsion free.

Step 3: Fundamental group

Theorem ([EO04])

Let G be a definably compact definably connected abelian group of dimension n . Then $\pi_1(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$.

Proof.

- Since $\text{dom}(G)$ is a definable set, $\pi_1(G)$ is finitely generated.
- Since G is abelian, the map $p_k : G \rightarrow G, x \mapsto kx$, is a homomorphism.
- It is also a definable **covering** map, so it induces an **injective** homomorphism $p_{k*} : \pi_1(G) \rightarrow \pi_1(G)$, given by $[\gamma] \mapsto k[\gamma]$.
- Since this holds for every k , $\pi_1(G)$ is torsion free.
- Being also abelian and finitely generated, $\pi_1(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}^s$ for some s .
- The proof of $s = n$ uses the cup product in cohomology plus the theory of Hopf-spaces (proof omitted).



Step 4: Higher homotopy groups

We have seen that if X is a definable set $\pi_m(X)$ may not be finitely generated. However:

Lemma ([BMO10])

If G is a definably connected definable group in M , then $\pi_m(G)$ is finitely generated for all m .

Step 4: Higher homotopy groups

We have seen that if X is a definable set $\pi_m(X)$ may not be finitely generated. However:

Lemma ([BMO10])

If G is a definably connected definable group in M , then $\pi_m(G)$ is finitely generated for all m .

Proof.

Step 4: Higher homotopy groups

We have seen that if X is a definable set $\pi_m(X)$ may not be finitely generated. However:

Lemma ([BMO10])

If G is a definably connected definable group in M , then $\pi_m(G)$ is finitely generated for all m .

Proof.

- 1 If \mathbf{G} is a real Lie group, then the fundamental group $\pi_1(\mathbf{G})$ acts trivially on $\pi_m(\mathbf{G})$ for $m > 1$, namely \mathbf{G} is a simple space.

Step 4: Higher homotopy groups

We have seen that if X is a definable set $\pi_m(X)$ may not be finitely generated. However:

Lemma ([BMO10])

If G is a definably connected definable group in M , then $\pi_m(G)$ is finitely generated for all m .

Proof.

- 1 If \mathbf{G} is a real Lie group, then the fundamental group $\pi_1(\mathbf{G})$ acts trivially on $\pi_m(\mathbf{G})$ for $m > 1$, namely \mathbf{G} is a simple space.
- 2 (Serre 1953) If X is a simple space and $H_m(X)$ is finitely generated for all m , then $\pi_m(X)$ is finitely generated for all m .

Step 4: Higher homotopy groups

We have seen that if X is a definable set $\pi_m(X)$ may not be finitely generated. However:

Lemma ([BMO10])

If G is a definably connected definable group in M , then $\pi_m(G)$ is finitely generated for all m .

Proof.

- 1 If \mathbf{G} is a real Lie group, then the fundamental group $\pi_1(\mathbf{G})$ acts trivially on $\pi_m(\mathbf{G})$ for $m > 1$, namely \mathbf{G} is a simple space.
- 2 (Serre 1953) If X is a simple space and $H_m(X)$ is finitely generated for all m , then $\pi_m(X)$ is finitely generated for all m .
- 3 Being a definable group, our group G is a simple space in the definable category.

Step 4: Higher homotopy groups

We have seen that if X is a definable set $\pi_m(X)$ may not be finitely generated. However:

Lemma ([BMO10])

If G is a definably connected definable group in M , then $\pi_m(G)$ is finitely generated for all m .

Proof.

- 1 If \mathbf{G} is a real Lie group, then the fundamental group $\pi_1(\mathbf{G})$ acts trivially on $\pi_m(\mathbf{G})$ for $m > 1$, namely \mathbf{G} is a simple space.
- 2 (Serre 1953) If X is a simple space and $H_m(X)$ is finitely generated for all m , then $\pi_m(X)$ is finitely generated for all m .
- 3 Being a definable group, our group G is a simple space in the definable category.
- 4 Conclude by a suitable homotopy transfer.



Step 4: Higher homotopy groups

In the abelian case we get:

Corollary ([BMO10])

Let G be a definably connected definable abelian group in M . Then $\pi_m(G) = 0$ for all $m > 1$.

Step 4: Higher homotopy groups

In the abelian case we get:

Corollary ([BMO10])

Let G be a definably connected definable abelian group in M . Then $\pi_m(G) = 0$ for all $m > 1$.

Proof.

- The morphism $p_k : G \rightarrow G, x \mapsto kx$, is a covering map, so it induces an injective endomorphism of $\pi_m(G)$ given by multiplication by k .
- Since $m > 1$ this is actually an automorphism of $\pi_m(G)$ [BO09].
- Since this holds for all k , we deduce that $\pi_m(G)$ is divisible.
- Since it is also abelian and finitely generated, it must be zero.



Step 4: Higher homotopy groups

In the abelian case we get:

Corollary ([BMO10])

Let G be a definably connected definable abelian group in M . Then $\pi_m(G) = 0$ for all $m > 1$.

Proof.

- The morphism $p_k : G \rightarrow G, x \mapsto kx$, is a covering map, so it induces an injective endomorphism of $\pi_m(G)$ given by multiplication by k .
- Since $m > 1$ this is actually an automorphism of $\pi_m(G)$ [BO09].
- Since this holds for all k , we deduce that $\pi_m(G)$ is divisible.
- Since it is also abelian and finitely generated, it must be zero.



In particular this gives a proof that $\pi_m(\mathbb{T}^n) = 0$ for $m > 0$ without factoring \mathbb{T}^n into one-dimensional subgroups.

Step 4: Higher homotopy groups

Theorem ([BMO10])

Let G be a definably connected definably compact definable abelian group in M . Then G is definably homotopy equivalent to $\mathbb{T}^n(M)$.

Step 4: Higher homotopy groups

Theorem ([BMO10])

Let G be a definably connected definably compact definable abelian group in M . Then G is definably homotopy equivalent to $\mathbb{T}^n(M)$.

Proof.

- By [EO04], $\pi_1(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$.
- Consider the map $f : \mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow G$ sending $(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in [0, 1)^n$ to $\gamma_1(t_1) + \dots + \gamma_n(t_n)$ where $[\gamma_1], \dots, [\gamma_n]$ are free generators of $\pi_1(G)$.
- Then clearly $f_* : \pi_1(\mathbb{T}^n) \cong \pi_1(G)$.
- Since $\pi_m(G) = 0$ for $m > 1$, f induces an isomorphism on all the π_m 's.
- By the o-minimal version of Whitehead's theorem ([BO09]) f is a definable homotopy equivalence.



Step 5: Homotopy tori

We have seen that our group G is definably homotopy equivalent to $\mathbb{T}^n(M)$ and we want to prove that it is definably homeomorphic to it.

Step 5: Homotopy tori

We have seen that our group G is definably homotopy equivalent to $\mathbb{T}^n(M)$ and we want to prove that it is definably homeomorphic to it.

We could try to transfer “Borel’s conjecture” from \mathbb{R} to M :

Step 5: Homotopy tori

We have seen that our group G is definably homotopy equivalent to $\mathbb{T}^n(M)$ and we want to prove that it is definably homeomorphic to it.

We could try to transfer “Borel’s conjecture” from \mathbb{R} to M :

Borel’s conjecture

Let X be a PL-manifold homotopy equivalent to $\mathbb{T}^n(\mathbb{R})$ (considered as a PL-manifold under a standard triangulation). Then X is PL-homeomorphic to $\mathbb{T}^n(\mathbb{R})$.

Step 5: Homotopy tori

We have seen that our group G is definably homotopy equivalent to $\mathbb{T}^n(M)$ and we want to prove that it is definably homeomorphic to it. We could try to transfer “Borel’s conjecture” from \mathbb{R} to M :

Borel’s conjecture

Let X be a PL-manifold homotopy equivalent to $\mathbb{T}^n(\mathbb{R})$ (considered as a PL-manifold under a standard triangulation). Then X is PL-homeomorphic to $\mathbb{T}^n(\mathbb{R})$.

... but unfortunately this conjecture is false (it turns out that X is indeed homeomorphic to $\mathbb{T}^n(\mathbb{R})$ but the homeomorphism is not necessarily PL).

Step 5: Homotopy tori

As a partial substitute for Borel's conjecture we have:

Theorem (Homotopy tori)

Let X be a (closed) PL -manifold of dimension $n \neq 4$ homotopy equivalent to $\mathbb{T}^n(\mathbb{R})$. Then there is a finite PL -covering $f : \mathbb{T}^n(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow X$.

Step 5: Homotopy tori

As a partial substitute for Borel's conjecture we have:

Theorem (Homotopy tori)

Let X be a (closed) PL -manifold of dimension $n \neq 4$ homotopy equivalent to $\mathbb{T}^n(\mathbb{R})$. Then there is a finite PL -covering $f : \mathbb{T}^n(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow X$.

References

For $n \geq 5$, see [HW69]. The case $n = 3$ follows from results in [KS77] plus the positive solution of Poincaré's conjecture. For $n \leq 2$ X is already PL -homeomorphic to $\mathbb{T}^n(\mathbb{R})$.

Step 5: Homotopy tori

By triangulating our group G and transferring from \mathbb{R} to M the result on Homotopy tori we get:

Lemma

There is a semialgebraic (even PL) finite cover

$$f : \mathbb{T}^n(M) \rightarrow G(M)$$

(as spaces, not as groups).

This is nice, but it would have been more useful to have a cover going the other way around.

Step 5: Homotopy tori

Lemma

Let G be definably compact, definably connected, abelian, of $\dim \neq 4$. Then there is a definable finite cover $h : G(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n(M)$.

Step 5: Homotopy tori

Lemma

Let G be definably compact, definably connected, abelian, of $\dim \neq 4$. Then there is a definable finite cover $h : G(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n(M)$.

Proof.

- Start with the finite cover $f : \mathbb{T}^n(M) \rightarrow G(M)$ (as spaces).

Step 5: Homotopy tori

Lemma

Let G be definably compact, definably connected, abelian, of $\dim \neq 4$. Then there is a definable finite cover $h : G(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n(M)$.

Proof.

- Start with the finite cover $f : \mathbb{T}^n(M) \rightarrow G(M)$ (as spaces).
- Lift the group operation on G to a definable group operation $*$ on \mathbb{T}^n .

Step 5: Homotopy tori

Lemma

Let G be definably compact, definably connected, abelian, of $\dim \neq 4$. Then there is a definable finite cover $h : G(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n(M)$.

Proof.

- Start with the finite cover $f : \mathbb{T}^n(M) \rightarrow G(M)$ (as spaces).
- Lift the group operation on G to a definable group operation $*$ on \mathbb{T}^n . So f becomes a cover of definable groups $f : H = (\mathbb{T}^n, *) \rightarrow G$.

Step 5: Homotopy tori

Lemma

Let G be definably compact, definably connected, abelian, of $\dim \neq 4$. Then there is a definable finite cover $h : G(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n(M)$.

Proof.

- Start with the finite cover $f : \mathbb{T}^n(M) \rightarrow G(M)$ (as spaces).
- Lift the group operation on G to a definable group operation $*$ on \mathbb{T}^n . So f becomes a cover of definable groups $f : H = (\mathbb{T}^n, *) \rightarrow G$.
- Since $\ker(f) < H$ is finite, $\ker(f) < H[m]$ for some m .

Step 5: Homotopy tori

Lemma

Let G be definably compact, definably connected, abelian, of $\dim \neq 4$. Then there is a definable finite cover $h : G(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n(M)$.

Proof.

- Start with the finite cover $f : \mathbb{T}^n(M) \rightarrow G(M)$ (as spaces).
- Lift the group operation on G to a definable group operation $*$ on \mathbb{T}^n . So f becomes a cover of definable groups $f : H = (\mathbb{T}^n, *) \rightarrow G$.
- Since $\ker(f) < H$ is finite, $\ker(f) < H[m]$ for some m .
- So we get a group cover $G \cong H/\ker(f) \rightarrow H/H[m]$.

Step 5: Homotopy tori

Lemma

Let G be definably compact, definably connected, abelian, of $\dim \neq 4$. Then there is a definable finite cover $h : G(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n(M)$.

Proof.

- Start with the finite cover $f : \mathbb{T}^n(M) \rightarrow G(M)$ (as spaces).
- Lift the group operation on G to a definable group operation $*$ on \mathbb{T}^n . So f becomes a cover of definable groups $f : H = (\mathbb{T}^n, *) \rightarrow G$.
- Since $\ker(f) < H$ is finite, $\ker(f) < H[m]$ for some m .
- So we get a group cover $G \cong H/\ker(f) \rightarrow H/H[m]$.
- H is a definable abelian group, so by [Str94a] $H[m]$ is finite. Deduce that $H/H[m] \cong H$ (as H is definably connected).

Step 5: Homotopy tori

Lemma

Let G be definably compact, definably connected, abelian, of $\dim \neq 4$. Then there is a definable finite cover $h : G(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n(M)$.

Proof.

- Start with the finite cover $f : \mathbb{T}^n(M) \rightarrow G(M)$ (as spaces).
- Lift the group operation on G to a definable group operation $*$ on \mathbb{T}^n . So f becomes a cover of definable groups $f : H = (\mathbb{T}^n, *) \rightarrow G$.
- Since $\ker(f) < H$ is finite, $\ker(f) < H[m]$ for some m .
- So we get a group cover $G \cong H/\ker(f) \rightarrow H/H[m]$.
- H is a definable abelian group, so by [Str94a] $H[m]$ is finite. Deduce that $H/H[m] \cong H$ (as H is definably connected).
- So we get a definable cover $h : G(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n(M)$.



Step 5: Reduction to the semialgebraic case

Lemma

Let G be definably compact, definably connected, abelian, of $\dim \neq 4$. Then G is definably homeomorphic (not isomorphic!), to a semialgebraic abelian group.

Proof.

- We can assume that $\text{dom}(G) = |K|$ (triangulation).

Step 5: Reduction to the semialgebraic case

Lemma

Let G be definably compact, definably connected, abelian, of $\dim \neq 4$. Then G is definably homeomorphic (not isomorphic!), to a semialgebraic abelian group.

Proof.

- We can assume that $\text{dom}(G) = |K|$ (triangulation).
- We have a definable cover $h : G \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n$ (as spaces).

Step 5: Reduction to the semialgebraic case

Lemma

Let G be definably compact, definably connected, abelian, of $\dim \neq 4$. Then G is definably homeomorphic (not isomorphic!), to a semialgebraic abelian group.

Proof.

- We can assume that $\text{dom}(G) = |K|$ (triangulation).
- We have a definable cover $h : G \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n$ (as spaces).
- Since \mathbb{T}^n is semialgebraic, there is a semialgebraic cover $h' : G' \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n$ and a definable homeomorphism $\phi : G \approx G'$ with $h' \circ \phi = h$.
(see [EJP10])

Step 5: Reduction to the semialgebraic case

Lemma

Let G be definably compact, definably connected, abelian, of $\dim \neq 4$. Then G is definably homeomorphic (not isomorphic!), to a semialgebraic abelian group.

Proof.

- We can assume that $\text{dom}(G) = |K|$ (triangulation).
- We have a definable cover $h : G \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n$ (as spaces).
- Since \mathbb{T}^n is semialgebraic, there is a semialgebraic cover $h' : G' \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n$ and a definable homeomorphism $\phi : G \approx G'$ with $h' \circ \phi = h$. (see [EJP10])
- By the o-minimal Hauptvermutung there is a semialgebraic homeomorphism $\psi : G \approx G'$ and therefore $h' \circ \psi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n$ is a semialgebraic cover.

Step 5: Reduction to the semialgebraic case

Lemma

Let G be definably compact, definably connected, abelian, of $\dim \neq 4$. Then G is definably homeomorphic (not isomorphic!), to a semialgebraic abelian group.

Proof.

- We can assume that $\text{dom}(G) = |K|$ (triangulation).
- We have a definable cover $h : G \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n$ (as spaces).
- Since \mathbb{T}^n is semialgebraic, there is a semialgebraic cover $h' : G' \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n$ and a definable homeomorphism $\phi : G \approx G'$ with $h' \circ \phi = h$. (see [EJP10])
- By the o-minimal Hauptvermutung there is a semialgebraic homeomorphism $\psi : G \approx G'$ and therefore $h' \circ \psi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n$ is a semialgebraic cover.
- \mathbb{T}^n admits a semialgebraic commutative group operation which can be lifted to G via the cover.

Conclusions

By a reduction to the semialgebraic case we have proved that a definably connected definably compact definable abelian group G with $\dim(G) \neq 4$ is definably homeomorphic to $\mathbb{T}^n(M)$.

Conclusions

By a reduction to the semialgebraic case we have proved that a definably connected definably compact definable abelian group G with $\dim(G) \neq 4$ is definably homeomorphic to $\mathbb{T}^n(M)$.

When $\dim(G) = 4$ the same proof gives the following weaker result:

Corollary

Suppose $\dim(G) = 4$. Then $|G|(\mathbb{R})$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{T}^4(\mathbb{R})$.

Conclusions

By a reduction to the semialgebraic case we have proved that a definably connected definably compact definable abelian group G with $\dim(G) \neq 4$ is definably homeomorphic to $\mathbb{T}^n(M)$.

When $\dim(G) = 4$ the same proof gives the following weaker result:

Corollary

Suppose $\dim(G) = 4$. Then $|G|(\mathbb{R})$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{T}^4(\mathbb{R})$.

Proof.

By results in [FQ90] a PL-manifold homotopy equivalent to $\mathbb{T}^4(\mathbb{R})$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{T}^4(\mathbb{R})$. □

Conclusions

By a reduction to the semialgebraic case we have proved that a definably connected definably compact definable abelian group G with $\dim(G) \neq 4$ is definably homeomorphic to $\mathbb{T}^n(M)$.

When $\dim(G) = 4$ the same proof gives the following weaker result:

Corollary

Suppose $\dim(G) = |K|(M)$. Then $|K|(\mathbb{R})$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{T}^n(\mathbb{R})$.

Proof.

By results in [FQ90] a PL-manifold homotopy equivalent to $\mathbb{T}^n(\mathbb{R})$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{T}^n(\mathbb{R})$. □

However in principle the homeomorphism may be wild (not PL), so we cannot deduce $|K|(M)$ is definably homeomorphic to $\mathbb{T}^n(M)$.

Conclusions

A possible approach to deal with the case $\dim(G) = 4$ is to replace G with $G \times \mathbb{T}^1$ (a group of $\dim = 5$). But ...

Conclusions

A possible approach to deal with the case $\dim(G) = 4$ is to replace G with $G \times \mathbb{T}^1$ (a group of $\dim = 5$). But ...

Question

Let X be a semialgebraic set and suppose that $X(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{T}^1(\mathbb{R})$ is semialgebraically homeomorphic to $\mathbb{T}^5(\mathbb{R})$. Is $X(\mathbb{R})$ semialgebraically homeomorphic to $\mathbb{T}^4(\mathbb{R})$?

Conclusions

A possible approach to deal with the case $\dim(G) = 4$ is to replace G with $G \times \mathbb{T}^1$ (a group of $\dim = 5$). But ...

Question

Let X be a semialgebraic set and suppose that $X(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{T}^1(\mathbb{R})$ is semialgebraically homeomorphic to $\mathbb{T}^5(\mathbb{R})$. Is $X(\mathbb{R})$ semialgebraically homeomorphic to $\mathbb{T}^4(\mathbb{R})$?

The answer is yes if \mathbb{T}^1 is replaced by \mathbb{R} (Shiota). With \mathbb{T}^1 there could be problems.

Conclusions

Another possibility to deal with the $\dim = 4$ case is to use the work of many authors on the “infinitesimal subgroup” G^{00} of G (see [Pil04, BOPP05]):

Theorem

- 1 If G is a definable group in M and $M^* \succ M$ is saturated, then $\mathbf{G} = G(M^*)/G^{00}(M^*)$ is a compact real Lie group [BOPP05].
- 2 When G is definably compact, G^{00} is torsion free and $\dim_M(G) = \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbf{G})$ [HPP07].

When G is definably compact, definably connected and abelian, it follows that $\mathbf{G} \cong \mathbb{T}^n(\mathbb{R})$. We could try to transfer results from \mathbf{G} to G and deduce that G is definably homeomorphic to $\mathbb{T}^n(M)$.

Conclusions

Theorem (Transfer from \mathbf{G} to G)

Let G be definably compact and let $\mathbf{p} : G \rightarrow \mathbf{G} = G(M^*)/G^{00}(M^*)$ be the projection.

- 1 $\dim_M(G) = \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbf{G})$ [HPP07].
- 2 The image under \mathbf{p} of a nowhere dense definable $X \subset G$, has measure zero in \mathbf{G} . [HP09].
- 3 If $U \subset \mathbf{G}$ is open and $V \subset G$ is the preimage of U , then $\pi_1(U) \cong \pi_1^{\text{def}}(V)$ [BM11].
- 4 \mathbf{G} determines the definable homotopy type of G [Bar09, BM11].

Taking G abelian and $U = \mathbf{G}$ in (3) we obtain $\pi_1(G) \cong \pi_1(\mathbf{G}) (\cong \mathbb{Z}^n)$.
However (3) uses (2), which in turn uses $\pi_1(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$.

Conclusions

Theorem (Transfer from \mathbf{G} to G)

Let G be definably compact and let $\mathbf{p} : G \rightarrow \mathbf{G} = G(M^*)/G^{00}(M^*)$ be the projection.

- 1 $\dim_M(G) = \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbf{G})$ [HPP07].
- 2 The image under \mathbf{p} of a nowhere dense definable $X \subset G$, has measure zero in \mathbf{G} . [HP09].
- 3 If $U \subset \mathbf{G}$ is open and $V \subset G$ is the preimage of U , then $\pi_1(U) \cong \pi_1^{\text{def}}(V)$ [BM11].
- 4 \mathbf{G} determines the definable homotopy type of G [Bar09, BM11].

Taking G abelian and $U = \mathbf{G}$ in (3) we obtain $\pi_1(G) \cong \pi_1(\mathbf{G}) (\cong \mathbb{Z}^n)$. However (3) uses (2), which in turn uses $\pi_1(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$.

Conjecture

If G is triangulated by $|K|(M)$, then \mathbf{G} can be triangulated by $|K|(\mathbb{R})$.

References I

- [Bar09] Elías Baro.
On the o-minimal LS-category.
[To appear in: Israel Journal of Mathematics, 2009:1–14, 2009.](#)
- [BB11] Elías Baro and Alessandro Berarducci.
Topology of definable abelian groups in o-minimal structures.
[arXiv: 1102.2494v1, pages 1–7, 2011.](#)
- [BM11] Alessandro Berarducci and Marcello Mamino.
On the homotopy type of definable groups in an o-minimal structure.
[Journal of the London Mathematical Society, DOI:10.111:1–24, February 2011.](#)
- [BMO10] Alessandro Berarducci, Marcello Mamino, and Margarita Otero.
Higher homotopy of groups definable in o-minimal structures.
[Israel Journal of Mathematics, 180\(1\):143–161, October 2010.](#)
- [BO02] Alessandro Berarducci and Margarita Otero.
O-minimal fundamental group, homology and manifolds.
[J. London Math. Soc., 2\(65\):257–270, 2002.](#)
- [BO03] Alessandro Berarducci and Margarita Otero.
Transfer methods for o-minimal topology.
[Journal of Symbolic Logic, 68\(3\):785–794, 2003.](#)

References II

- [BO09] Elías Baro and Margarita Otero.
On O-Minimal Homotopy Groups.
[The Quarterly Journal of Mathematics](#), 61(3):275–289, March 2009.
- [BOPP05] Alessandro Berarducci, Margarita Otero, Ya'acov Peterzil, and Anand Pillay.
A descending chain condition for groups definable in ω -minimal structures.
[Annals of Pure and Applied Logic](#), 134(2-3):303–313, July 2005.
- [EJP10] Mário J. Edmundo, Gareth O. Jones, and Nicholas J. Peatfield.
Invariance results for definable extensions of groups.
[Archive for Mathematical Logic](#), 50(1-2):19–31, July 2010.
- [EO04] Mário J. Edmundo and Margarita Otero.
Definably compact abelian groups .
[Journal of Mathematical Logic](#), 4(2):163–180, 2004.
- [FQ90] M.H. Freedman and F. Quinn.
[Topology of 4-manifolds](#).
Princeton Mathematical Series(39), Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1990.
- [HP09] Ehud Hrushovski and Anand Pillay.
On NIP and invariant measures.
[Preprint](#), pages 1–69, 2009.

References III

- [HPP07] Ehud Hrushovski, Ya'acov Peterzil, and Anand Pillay.
Groups, measures, and the nip.
[Journal of the American Mathematical Society](#), 0347(244):1–34, 2007.
- [HW69] W. C. Hsiang and C. T. C. Wall.
On Homotopy Tori II.
[Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society](#), 1(3):341–342, November 1969.
- [KS77] Rob C. Kirby and L.C. Siebenmann.
Foundational essays on topological manifolds, smoothings, and triangulations.
[Annals of Mathematics Studies](#), 88, 1977.
- [Pil88] Anand Pillay.
On groups and fields definable in \mathfrak{o} -minimal structures.
[Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra](#), 53(3):239–255, 1988.
- [Pil04] Anand Pillay.
Type-definability, compact lie groups, and \mathfrak{o} -Minimality.
[Journal of Mathematical Logic](#), 4(2):147–162, 2004.
- [PS99] Ya'acov Peterzil and Charles Steinhorn.
Definable Compactness and Definable Subgroups of \mathfrak{o} -Minimal Groups.
[J. London Math. Soc.](#), 59:769–786, 1999.

References IV

- [Shi10] Masahiro Shiota.
PL and differential topology in o-minimal structure.
[arXiv:1002.1508](#), pages 1–48, 2010.
- [Str94a] A. Strzebonski.
Euler characteristic in semialgebraic and other O-minimal structures.
[J. Pure and Applied Algebra](#), 86:173–201, 1994.
- [Str94b] A. Strzebonski.
One-dimensional groups in o-minimal structures.
[J. Pure and Applied Algebra](#), 96:203–214, 1994.
- [vdD98] Lou van den Dries.
Tame topology and o-minimal structures, volume 248.
LMS Lecture Notes Series, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1998.